

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,275	04/21/2004		Hul-Chun Hsu	OP-093000008	5428
46103	7590	01/25/2006		EXAM	INER
HDSL				MCKINNON,	TERRELL L
4331 STEVEN	IS BATT	LE LANE			
FAIRFAX, V.	A 22033	}	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3753	

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/828,275	HSU, HUL-CHUN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Terrell L. Mckinnon	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01</u>	November 2005.					
,	, —					
,	·					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5-8 and 12-16 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5-8 and 12-16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 4/21/2004 is/are: a){ Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected he drawing(s) be held in abeyan rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	iummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/828,275 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-8 and 12-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mashiko et al. (EP 455 276) in view of Sarraf (U.S. 6,793,009).

Mashiko discloses a wick structure for a heat pipe comprising:

- a wick structure to be attached to an internal wall of a tubular member;
- the tubular member includes an open and close end, and the mesh extends towards an internal bottom surface of the close end;
- a mesh in the form of an elongate circular ring and a plurality of particulates of metal powder and fine broken fibers embedded in interstices of the mesh (See Derwent abstract);
- wherein the mesh and the particulates embedded therein are capable of being attached to the internal wall of the tubular member by a sintering process;

Application/Control Number: 10/828,275 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

a support member disposed in the tubular member to press the wick structure against the internal wall of the tubular member (see
 Derwent abstract and Fig. 1);

- the tubular member is fabricated from a good thermal conductive metal material;
- the mesh includes a woven mesh, the tubular member has a fusion point higher than that of the wick structure;
- the mesh is fabricated from a thin layer with a plurality of porosities;
- the thin layer includes a plurality of recesses and protrusions;
- the particulates have a fusion point lower than that of the mesh;
- the support member has a fusion point higher than those of the mesh and the particulates;
- the support member includes a plate spiral structure (Fig. 1);
- the support member includes a linear spiral structure; and
- the support member is fabricated from a resilient material.

Mashiko's invention discloses all of the claimed limitations from above except for the structural limitations of the wick extending towards an internal bottom surface of a closed end of a heat pipe.

3. However, Sarraf teaches a tower heat pipe, wherein the wick extending towards an internal bottom surface of a closed end of a heat pipe, and the combination of particles embedded in the interstices of a mesh wick [(column 2; lines 64 through

Application/Control Number: 10/828,275

Art Unit: 3753

column 3; line 5) and (column 3, lines 18-28) and (column 3; line 65 through column 4, lie 9)].

Given the teachings of Sarraf, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the a wick structure for a heat pipe of Mashiko with a tower heat pipe, wherein the wick extending towards an internal bottom surface of a closed end of a heat pipe, and the combination of particles embedded in the interstices of a mesh wick.

Doing so would provide an improved heat pipe for efficiently dissipating heat from heat generating devices.

4. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mashiko et al. (EP 455 276) in view of Sarraf (U.S. 6,793,009) as applied to claims above, and further in view of Kosson et al. (U.S. 3,901,311).

Mashiko's invention, as modified by Sarraf, discloses all of the claimed limitations from above except for the support member including a porous plate curled as a roll.

5. However, Kosson teaches the use of the support member includes a porous plate curled as a roll.

Given the teachings of Kosson, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the wick structure for a heat pipe of Mashiko with the support member includes a porous plate curled as a roll.

Doing so would provide enhance capillary action for improving the cooling performance of the heat pipe.

Art Unit: 3753

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following references cited on the USPTO 892 discloses related limitations of the applicant's claimed and disclosed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrell L. Mckinnon whose telephone number is 571-272-4797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Blau can be reached on 571-272-4406. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Terrell L Mckinnon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753 January 23, 2006